IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2377 OF 2004

Nasik Workers Union ...Petitioner
Vs.
M/s. Satwik Electric Controls Pvt. Ltd....Respondents

Mr.Bhavesh Parmar for Petitioner
Mr.Girish Kulkarni with Smt. Sonali A. Joshi for Respondent Nos.1,3 & 4.
Mrs. M. Thakur, A.G.P. for Respondents

CORAM: H.L.GOKHALE &
SMT.R.S.DALVI,JJ.
DATED: 11TH JULY, 2005

P.C.

1. Heard Mr. Parmar in support of this Petition. Mr. Kulkarni appearing for Bank of Maharashtra which is Respondent No.3 and which is having a first charge on the properties of the Respondent No.1 states that the Petitioner Union may intervene in the recovery application filed in the DRT or with the Recovery Officer. He has drawn our attention to the guide-lines framed by the DRT in this behalf, based on para 75 of the **Judgment of Apex Court in Allahabad Bank Vs. Canara Bank reported in 2000 A.I.R. S.C.W. page 1347.** It will be open to the Applicant to intervene in the proceedings, as per the observations of DRT in para 7 of its order passed earlier in O.A. No.475 of 2002. That would secure the position of the workmen before any amounts are released to any other creditors. The Collector has attached some of the

properties of the Company. Collector may proceed to recover the dues of the workmen.

. The Petition is disposed of.

(H.L.GOKHALE,J.)

(SMT.R.S.DALVI,J.)